

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

CINDY S. BUNDY,

Plaintiff,

-v-

FIDELITY PROPERTIES, INC. *dba*  
FIDELITY NATIONAL COLLECTIONS,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 1:20-cv-02090

JUDGE:

COMPLAINT  
JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREON

Plaintiff, Cindy S. Bundy, for her Complaint against Fidelity Properties, Inc. *dba* Fidelity National Collections (“Defendant”), states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action seeking damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 *et seq.* (“FDCPA”), stemming from Defendant’s unlawful collection practices as outlined in this Complaint, *infra*.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This action arises under and is brought pursuant to the FDCPA. Subject matter jurisdiction is therefore conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, as the action arises under the laws of the United States.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as Defendant transacts business within the Northern District of Ohio and the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims made in this Complaint occurred within the Northern District of Ohio.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff, Cindy S. Bundy (“Ms. Bundy”), is a natural adult person residing in Dorset, Ohio, and is a “consumer” as that term is defined and/or used within the FDCPA.

5. Defendant, Fidelity Properties, Inc. *dba* Fidelity National Collections, is an Ohio corporation in the business of collecting consumer debts on behalf of others within the State of Ohio and throughout the United States. As such, Defendant regularly uses the mails and/or telephone to collect, or attempt to collect, delinquent consumer accounts.

6. In its communications to consumers, Defendant identifies itself as a “debt collector.”

7. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.

8. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, members, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and/or insurers at all times relevant to this action.

FACTS SUPPORTING CAUSES OF ACTION

9. As Ms. Bundy was reviewing her credit reports, she discovered two (2) entries bearing Defendant’s name reporting consumer medical debts originally owed to *Ashtabula Clinic* in an active collection status (collectively, the “Subject Debt”). Relevant pages from Ms. Bundy’s Experian credit report, dated September 8, 2020, are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

10. Ms. Bundy also discovered that Defendant had updated its furnishing of the Subject Debt to Experian as recently as September 1, 2020. *See* Exhibit A.

11. On September 8, 2020, Ms. Bundy accessed Defendant’s website to ascertain additional information concerning the collection accounts appearing on her credit report and the Subject Debt Defendant was attempting to collect from her. A screenshot of Defendant’s webpage accessed by Ms. Bundy is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B (the “Payment Portal”).

12. During Ms. Bundy's access to the Payment Portal, Defendant identified itself to Ms. Bundy as a "collection agency" attempting to collect a "debt." *See Exhibit B.*

13. Defendant attempted to collect the Subject Debt from Ms. Bundy during her accessing of the Payment Portal, including stating, *inter alia*: (i) "This site provides a secure payment portal for making online payments."; (ii) "Please ensure you are paying the correct party."; (iii) "Make a payment using any of: . . ."; (iv) "This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose." *See Exhibit B.*

14. During Ms. Bundy's access to the Payment Portal, Defendant also represented to Ms. Bundy that it was entitled to collect a "convenience fee" from her in the amount of 4.0% of the principal amount of her payment if she chose to make a payment towards the Subject Debt via the Payment Portal (the "Convenience Fee"). *See Exhibit B.*

15. The Convenience Fee is not expressly authorized pursuant to any underlying contract between Ms. Bundy and *Ashtabula Clinic*.

16. After a reasonable time to conduct discovery, Ms. Bundy believes she can prove that all actions taken by Defendant as described in this Complaint, *supra*, were taken willfully and/or with knowledge that its actions were taken in violation of the law.

#### DAMAGES

17. In conjunction with Defendant's adverse credit reporting concerning the Subject Debt, Plaintiff was misled by Defendant during her access to the Payment Portal.

18. Plaintiff justifiably fears that, absent this Court's intervention, Defendant will continue to use unlawful methods and/or means in its attempts to collect the Subject Debt from her.

19. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff was forced to hire counsel and her damages therefore include reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this claim.

20. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages, punitive damages and all other appropriate measures to punish and deter Defendant and other debt collectors from engaging in the unlawful collection practices described in this Complaint, *supra*.

GROUND FOR RELIEF

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT  
15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, e(2)(A), e(5), e(10), f and f(1)

21. All prior paragraphs are incorporated into this count by reference.
22. The FDCPA states, in relevant part:

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: (2) The false representation of -- (A) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt; (5) The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken; (10) The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, e(2)(A), e(5) and e(10).

A debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: (1) The collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692f and f(1).

23. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, e(2)(A), e(5), e(10), f and f(1) by representing to Plaintiff that she would be charged the Convenience Fee if she chose to pay the Subject Debt via the Payment Portal. Defendant's charging of the Convenience Fee is not authorized per contract, statute or otherwise and, therefore, Defendant was not legally entitled to collect it from Plaintiff. For Defendant to represent otherwise to Plaintiff, as reflected in the Payment Portal, constitutes a

false, deceptive and/or misleading representation to the extent it stated and/or implied that Defendant could legally collect such monies from Plaintiff when, in actuality, it could not.

24. As set forth in paragraphs 17 through 20, *supra*, Plaintiff has been harmed as a result of Defendant's unlawful collection practices as described in this Complaint.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Cindy S. Bundy, respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor as follows:

- a) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, as provided under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00, as provided under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c) Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action and reasonable attorneys' fees, as provided under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and
- d) Awarding Plaintiff any other relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2020.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Geoff B. McCarrell  
Geoff B. McCarrell #0086427  
David S. Klain #0066305  
CONSUMER LAW PARTNERS, LLC  
333 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 1300  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
(267) 422-1000 (phone)  
(267) 422-2000 (fax)  
geoff.m@consumerlawpartners.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff, Cindy S. Bundy*

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial to a jury on all issues of fact.

/s/ Geoff B. McCarrell  
Geoff B. McCarrell (OH #0086427)  
CONSUMER LAW PARTNERS, LLC